

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bac. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/677,502	10/02/2000	Yoshio Hashibe	0694-134	4484	
759	05/19/2005		EXAMINER		
Bradley N. Ruben PC 463 First St.			SERGENT, RABON A		
Suite 5A			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Hoboken, NJ (	)7030		1711		
			DATE MAILED: 05/19/2004	DATE MAILED: 05/19/2005	

DATE MAILED: 05/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			₩
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•	09/677,502	HASHIBE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Rabon Sergent	1711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addr	<del>0</del> SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thir ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this comi BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
Status			
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 Ja</u></li> <li>This action is <b>FINAL</b>. 2b) This</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Extended</li> </ol>	action is non-final. ace except for formal mat	•	nerits is
Disposition of Claims	k ?		
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1,2,4,6 and 8-12 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1,2,4,6 and 8-12 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers	•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transfer of the correction is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyar on is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	, ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign     a) All b) Some * c) None of:     1. Certified copies of the priority documents     2. Certified copies of the priority documents     3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau     * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies.	s have been received. s have been received in A ity documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National St	age
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(:	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-1 	52)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 09/677,502 Page 2

Art Unit: 1711

- 1. Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 11, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Support has not been provided for claiming that the film has an average reflectance of "12% or more to 19% or less for visible rays" (claim 1) or "about 15% for visible light" (claims 11 and 12). The specification only provides support for reflectance values for visible light of 15% or less (page 3) and 12% and 19% (Table 1). The language, "12% or more to 19% or less" and "about 15%" encompasses values that are not supported by the specification. Data set forth within examples provides support only for values that correspond to the data. Despite applicants' argument, applicants have not established that they were in possession of values derived from the extrapolation of values within the examples at the time of invention. Therefore, the position is maintained that there is no evidence that applicants were in possession of values that exceed 15% at the time of invention, other than the experimental value of 19%. Contrary to applicants' arguments, the rejection is not based upon a lack of enablement; the rejection is based upon the position that the argued claimed subject matter is new matter. Furthermore, the examiner has clearly set forth why the argued subject matter is considered to be new matter.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, and 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the

Application/Control Number: 09/677,502

Art Unit: 1711

claimed invention. Support has not been provided for claiming that the heat-ray reflection film is "made of a material consisting essentially of indium oxide containing tin, antimony oxide containing tin, tin oxide containing fluorine, and tin oxide containing antimony". The amended claim language requires that the heat-ray reflection film contain all four of these components; however, neither original claim 5 nor the specification provides support for such a film. The specification merely discloses at page 3 that, as the film, use may be made of an indium oxide film containing tin, an antimony oxide film containing tin, a tin oxide film containing fluoride, or a tin oxide film containing antimony. At no place does the specification provide support for a film made from a material consisting essentially of all four claimed species.

Page 3

- 3. The prior art rejections have been withdrawn in view of applicants' amendment requiring that the heat-ray reflection film be made from a material consisting essentially of indium oxide containing tin, antimony oxide containing tin, tin oxide containing fluorine, and tin oxide containing antimony. As aforementioned, the claim language requires that the film contain all four recited species; however, the prior art is silent with respect to such a film.
- 4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Art Unit: 1711

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to R. Sergent at telephone number (571) 272-1079.

RABON SERGENT PRIMARY EXAMINER

R. Sergent May 15, 2005